District Status Detail Page 1 of 3





Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2015-2016 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Nam	e: HUGHES SPRINGS ISD(034903)	Publication Level 1: 8/8/201	17 2:29:29 PM	
		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM		
		Last Updated: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM		
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 d school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or A	eadline depending on the	3/28/2017 11:13:41 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and mate district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The sch number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to	nool district fails indicator		
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public A unmodified opinion. The external independent audit unmodified opinion.)	ccountants (AICPA) defines	3/28/2017 11:13:41 AM	Yes
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the (s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The A weakness.)	financial reporting and	3/28/2017 11:13:41 AM	Yes
3	Was the school district in compliance with the paymagreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district year, an exemption applies in following years if the forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempliare not related to monetary defaults. A technical determs of a debt covenant, contract, or master prompayments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are collegal agreement between a debtor (= person, compand their creditors, which includes a plan for paying	t was in default in a prior fiscal school district is current on its be payments are made on oted are technical defaults that fault is a failure to uphold the issory note even though turrent. A debt agreement is a pany, etc. that owes money)	3/28/2017 11:13:42 AM	Yes
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), International other government agencies?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3/28/2017 11:13:42 AM	Yes

District Status Detail Page 2 of 3

5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)	3/28/2017 11:13:42 AM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:13:42 AM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:13:43 AM	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:13:43 AM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	3/28/2017 11:13:43 AM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	5/18/2017 12:18:37 PM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:13:44 AM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/21/2017 8:13:43 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/28/2017 11:13:45 AM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/28/2017 11:13:45 AM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/28/2017 11:13:45 AM	10
			100 Weighted Sum

District Status Detail Page 3 of 3

	1 Multiplier Sum
	100 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.		
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)		
	A = Superior	90-100	
	B = Above Standard	80-89	
	C = Meets Standard	60-79	
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60	

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE \cdot AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 \cdot (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0